

# Pauci sed moni: An Item Response Theory approach for shortening tests

**Ottavia M. Epifania<sup>1,2</sup>**, Pasquale Anselmi<sup>1</sup> & Egidio Robusto<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Padua, Padova (IT)
<sup>2</sup>Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Milano (IT)
ottavia.epifania@unipd.it



Item Response Theory (IRT) is the theoretical framework often used for shortening existing tests. IRT models describe the probability of observing a response as a function of the characteristics of respondent p (i.e., the latent trait level  $\theta$ ) and the characteristics of item s. IRT models provide detailed information on how well each item measures a certain  $\theta$  level (i.e., *item information function*, *IIF*). Two types of short forms can be created by exploiting the *IIF*s:

1. Adaptive short forms: Ad-hoc tests for each person (i.e., Computerized Adaptive Testing, CAT. The items administered to each respondent vary according to the responses that this respondent gave to the previously administered items)  $\rightarrow$  The information is maximized for each level of  $\theta$  (i.e., each respondent)

**Issue**: Different short test forms for each respondent  $\rightarrow$  Potential fairness issues in assessments for recruitment

2. Static short forms: Static tests equal for all respondents (i.e., only the items from the full-length test that provide the highest information are included in the short form)  $\rightarrow$  the information is maximized across  $\theta$  levels (i.e., across all respondents)

**Issue**: Not being tailored to any  $\theta$  level of interest  $\rightarrow$  Potentially more items are needed to cover a wide range of  $\theta$ s

## AIM

New IRT-based procedures for the development of short test forms combining the advantages of adaptive short test forms (i.e., tailoring the tests to different  $\theta$  levels) and those of static short forms (i.e., being equal for all respondents).

The new item selection procedures are based on the definition of trait levels of interest (i.e.,  $\theta$  targets, denoted as  $\theta'$ )  $\rightarrow$  The items that best assess the trait levels represented by the  $\theta'$  targets (i.e., optimal items with highest *IIF*s for each  $\theta'$ ) are included in the short form.

## ITEM RESPONSE THEORY AND INFORMATION FUNCTIONS

This illustration is based on the 2-parameter logistic model (2PL) for dichotomous responses:

### Method

Comparison between the item selection procedures:

- Benchmark procedure (BP)
- ► Unequal Intervals Procedure (UIP)
- ► Equal Interval Procedure (EIP)
- ► Random Procedure (RP)

in the development of 10, 30, 50, 70-item test short forms from a 100-item full-length test

#### 1000 respondents *p*

Three  $\theta$  distributions:

- 1. Normal distribution  $p \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$
- 2. Positive skewed distribution  $p \sim Beta(1, 100)$ (linearly transformed to obtain negative values)
- 3. Uniform distribution  $p \sim \mathcal{U}(-3,3)$

#### RESULTS

▶  $b \sim \mathcal{U}(-3,3)$ 

▶  $a \sim U(0.40, 2)$ 





100 items *s*:

SCAN ME

$$P(x_{ps} = 1 | \theta_p, b_s, a_s) = \frac{exp[a_s(\theta_p - b_s)]}{1 + exp[a_s(\theta_p - b_s)]}$$
(1)

where  $P(x_{ps} = 1 | \theta_p, b_s, a_s)$  is the probability of respondent p to respond correctly to item s given the ability ( $\theta$ ) of p and difficulty (b) and discrimination (a) of s. The *Item Characteristics Curves* (*ICCs*) of three items with same difficulty but different discriminations are illustrated in Figure 1a.

The *item information function (IIF)* informs about the precision with which the item measures the abilities  $\theta$ s. In the 2PL model, the *IIF* is obtained as:

$$IIF = a^{2}[P(\theta)(1 - P(\theta))], \qquad (2)$$

where  $P(\theta)$  is the probability of a respondent with a certain  $\theta$  of responding correctly to an item, and  $1 - P(\theta)$  is their probability of responding incorrectly to the same item. The *IIF*s of the items depicted in Figure 1a are illustrated in Figure 1b.

The test information function (*TIF*) is obtained by summing the IIFs across items ( $TIF = \sum_{s=1}^{S} IIF_s$ , Figure 1c).







- **Benchmark**: The *N* items with the highest *IIF*s are selected from the full-length test to be included in the static short form, where *N* is the desired length of the short form (Benchmark Procedure, BP).
- **Random**: Items are randomly selected from the full-length tests (RP).
- **Procedures based on**  $\theta'$ :
- Cluster: The latent trait is grouped in N clusters, where N is the number of items to be included in the short form. The centroids of the clusters are the θ' (Unequal Intervals Procedure, UIP).
- ▶ Intervals: The latent trait is segmented into N + 1 intervals. Each interval is defined by  $[\theta'_{n-1}; \theta'_n]$ . The  $\theta$ 's are obtained by averaging between the lower and upper bound of each interval to avoid that the first and the last  $\theta$ 's correspond to the minimum and maximum  $\theta$  values (Equal Intervals Procedure, EIP).

Development of a 5-item short form from a 10-item full-length test:

| I j  | ypical pr | ocedu | re   |
|------|-----------|-------|------|
| item | b         | а     | IIF  |
| 1    | -2.51     | 1.68  | 0.10 |
| 2    | -2.43     | 0.25  | 0.02 |
| 3    | -2.28     | 1.62  | 0.13 |
| 4    | -0.67     | 0.71  | 0.11 |
| 5    | -0.66     | 0.44  | 0.05 |
| 6    | 0.50      | 1.19  | 0.27 |
| 7    | 0.64      | 0.50  | 0.06 |
| 8    | 0.72      | 0.33  | 0.03 |
| 9    | 1.72      | 0.39  | 0.03 |
| 10   | 2.12      | 1.98  | 0.16 |
|      |           |       |      |

| <i>i</i> -based procedures | '-based pro | ocedures |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------|
|----------------------------|-------------|----------|

|      |            | -          |            |           |            |
|------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|
|      | $\theta_1$ | $\theta_2$ | $\theta_3$ | $	heta_4$ | $\theta_5$ |
| item | -3.07      | -1.54      | -0.01      | 1.53      | 3.06       |
| 1    | 0.07       | 0.12       | 0.12       | 0.07      | 0.03       |
| 2    | 0.02       | 0.11       | 0.32       | 0.25      | 0.06       |
| 3    | 0.02       | 0.02       | 0.01       | 0.01      | 0.01       |
| 4    | 0.01       | 0.01       | 0.06       | 0.71      | 0.45       |
| 5    | 0.02       | 0.03       | 0.03       | 0.04      | 0.03       |
| 6    | 0.45       | 0.46       | 0.06       | 0.01      | 0.01       |
| 7    | 0.03       | 0.05       | 0.06       | 0.06      | 0.04       |
| 8    | 0.57       | 0.38       | 0.04       | 0.01      | 0.01       |
| 9    | 0.04       | 0.05       | 0.05       | 0.04      | 0.03       |
| 10   | 0.02       | 0.02       | 0.03       | 0.03      | 0.02       |





#### DISCUSSION

- Different methods for different  $\theta$  distributions
- **b** Better performance of  $\theta$ -based procedures on the extreme ends of the distributions
- ▶ By considering the  $\theta'$  in the item selection procedures  $\rightarrow$  Not the highest information but the best coverage of the entire latent trait